

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/535,505	STAGNETTO, JONATHAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry A. Grosso	3781	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Harry A. Grosso. (3)_____

(2) Daniel Shanley. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 23 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 9-12.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A final office action on this application was mailed on March 1, 2007 and an after final amendment was filed on August 1, 2007. The after final amendment was not entered. Claims 9-19 are pending. Mr. Shanley contacted the office to advise that the applicant wished to amend the claims to incorporate the subject matter of claim 12 into an independent claim comprising the limitations of claims 9, 10 and 12. Claim 12 had been objected to in the final action as containing allowable subject matter but being dependent on rejected claims 9 and 10. Examiner Grosso concurred with going forward with an Examiner's Amendment to this effect, making the application allowable. Claim 9 will be amended to include the limitations of claims 10 and 12, Claims 10, 11 and 12 will be cancelled. The dependencies of claims 13-19 will be corrected.